

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,465	0	3/01/2002	Timo Tokkonen	872.0114.U1(US)	872.0114.U1(US) 9071	
29683	7590	07/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARRING 4 RESEARC		MITH, LLP	MOE, AL	MOE, AUNG SOE		
SHELTON,		4-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2618				2618		

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/087,465	TOKKONEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Aung S. Moe	2618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	ine 2006.					
·		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4; 6-8; 10-22; 26-27; 32; 36-37; 39-	44 and 47 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,11-18,20-22,36,37,39,40,42-44 and 47</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10, 19, 26-27, 32 and 41 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National S	tage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
A 445 •	4.						
Attachmen		4) Data	(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(P10-413) ite				
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P.		152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/2006 with respect to claims 10, 19, 32 and 41 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 10, the Applicant alleged, "Kahn '875 does not disclose or suggest a wireless communication transceiver and an antenna connected to the transceiver, and means for automatically transmitting the file from the mobile electronic apparatus through the transceiver and the antenna to a wireless communication network base station as recited in claim 10."

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Kahn '875 clearly shows in Fig. 9 that the device 110 can be a mobile telephone having an aerial/antenna 140, thus, it is cleared that the antenna 140 must be connected to the conventional wireless communication transceiver for establishing a communication with the base station of the wireless communication network as required present claimed invention, thus, the use of "transceiver" and "wireless base station" is considered inherent features in the wireless communication device of Kahn '875. In addition, it is noted that the claim language of present claimed invention does not explicitly define what "file" is automatically transmitting to the base station from the wireless device. In this case, it is inherent to the wireless telephone like the one shown in Fig. 9 of Kahn '875 to automatically transmit the file containing a control data from the mobile electronic device (110) to the wireless base station to maintain/establish communication so that the location of the wireless mobile telephone would be known/registered at the base station, thus, the Examiner believes that teaching of Kahn '875 does in fact discloses "mans for automatically transmitting the file to the wireless base station network" as required by present claimed invention.

Regarding claims 19, 32 and 41, the applicant alleged, "Kahn '875 does not disclose or suggest that its algorithm used for freeing the device memory is capable of learning the user's behavior."

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Kahn '875 clearly discloses in the paragraphs 0113-0118 that the processing means uses an algorithm for freeing the device memory based on the priority rating held in associating with each image in the device memory by the user, and a user may be able to set weighting to influence the decision process made by the processing means. In particular, Kahn '875 discloses in paragraphs 0118 that the algorithm is capable of learning the user handling of the file over time to determine the priority level, for example, if the user frequently recalling an image record from the device memory, then the algorithm used by the processing means would determine such image as high priority rating based on the learns behavior of a user's frequent access to the image. In addition, Kahn '875 also discussed in paragraphs 0124 that the algorithm determined by the use patterns of a user for freeing up the memory space.

In view of the above, the Examiner believes that the algorithm used in the system of Kahn '875 is capable of learning the user's behavior in order to determine the priority rating of the image file stored in the device memory.

As for claim 26-27, the Applicant alleged, "Kahn '875 fails to show automatically moving the file based at least partially upon the prioritizing comprising transferring the file from the mobile electronic apparatus by a wireless communication link."

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Kahn '875 clearly discloses in paragraphs 0128 and 0132 that when the camera (i.e., the mobile electronic apparatus as

Art Unit: 2618

claimed) established a link, such as a wireless communication 44, with the PC, Image records in the camera memory that have not already been saved on a storage means of the computer are **automatically** transferred to the PC, thus backing up that image. Further, Kahn '875 stated in paragraphs 0133+ that the image file transferred to the PC over the wireless communication link is based at least partially upon the prioritizing such as "the last image recorded" in the memory of the device (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0047+and 0151+, the data/time and tag/references are used as the prioritization parameters associated with each of the stored image files to automatically transfer the last image recorded in the device memory to the remote CPU, thus, the back-up file automatically transfer to the PC is based at least partially upon the prioritizing as claimed; also see Fig. 8).

In view of the above, the Examiner asserts that Kahn '875 does in fact show automatically moving the file as recited in claim 26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, it is unclear how "the file" recited in line 16 related to the "user stored files" as recited in line 3? If there are the same "file", please change "the file" recited in line 16 to - - the user stored file - -.

In claim 26, it is unclear how "the file" recited in line 13 related to the "user stored files" as recited in line 2? If there are the same "file", please change "the file" recited in line 16 to - - the user stored file - -.

Noted that claim 27 is depending on the rejected claim 26 as discussed above, thus, claim 27 is rejected for the same reason as discussed for claim 26 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is noted that the newly added limitations in claim 26, "automatically moving the file based at least **partially upon the prioritizing**" is not clearly described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains. If the Applicant believes such features are disclosed in the original disclosure, please indicate the location of such teaching in the original disclosure to clarify this matter.

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 10, 19, 32, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kahn et al (U.S. 2001/0050875 A1).

Regarding claim 10, Kahn '875 discloses a wireless communication transceiver and an antenna connected to a transceiver (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0065+ and 0154+, the mobile device 10/110 can be a wireless telephone having an antenna 140, so that the information can be transmitted by using a wireless link and a network connection. In view of this, the use of transceiver is considered as an inherent feature of the mobile device 10/110), and the means for automatically moving (i.e., as shown in Fig. 4 and further discussed in paragraphs 0132-1036, Kahn '875 clearly shown that user stored files from the mobile device 10/110 can be automatically move to the remote device, e.g., remote computer, as a backup files) comprises means for transmitting (i.e., see paragraphs 0044+, 0065+ and 0128+) the file from the mobile electronic apparatus through the transceiver and the antenna to a wireless communication network base station (i.e., noted the use of antenna 140 as shown in Fig. 9, and as discussed in paragraphs 0065-0066, the mobile wireless phone of Kahn '875 establishes a communication on

a network connection must use a wireless communication, thus, it's considered inherent features of the wireless network communication system of Kahn '875).

Regarding claim 19, Kahn '875 discloses mobile electronic apparatus (i.e., see Figs. 1 and 9) comprising:

a memory (i.e., noted the memory circuits 16, 18 and external memory unit as discussed in paragraph 0096+ of Kahn '875) comprising user stored files therein (i.e., noted the user stored files, such as photo still image, video, and audio/music as discussed in paragraphs 0097 and 0100), each user stored file having more than one different prioritization parameter associated therewith (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, the priority rating preferences, age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files can be considered as different prioritization parameter for prioritizing the user stored file), wherein the user stored files comprise non-operating system files (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0097 and 0100, the user stored files can be still image, video and audio/music type of non-operating system file which is input by a user into the digital portable device 10/110 as shown in Figs 1 and 9); and

a system for prioritizing the user stored files in the memory relative to one another (i.e., noted that the user stored files, such as image, video and sound files, are relative to one another; see paragraphs 0100),

the system comprising means for prioritizing the user stored files relative to each other based upon a priority value established for the files by a combination of at least two of the

Application/Control Number: 10/087,465

Art Unit: 2618

different prioritization parameters (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, it is noted that sound, video, a number of images stored are related to each other based upon a priority rating values by using the different prioritization parameters, such as age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files, thus, it is cleared that combination of different prioritization parameters, e.g., the "quality" and "age" of the files can be used as parameters for high/low priority rating, are used to assigned a priority rating values to the user stored records held within the device memory);

Page 8

wherein the system for prioritizing comprises a learning algorithm that learns behavior of a user's low prioritization file handling over time, and changes prioritization weights given to predetermined ones of the parameters based upon the learned behavior (i.e., it is noted that the algorithm used for freeing the device memory is capable of learning the user's behavior of accessing/using the files over time to change the priority level of the files by setting weighting to influence the learned behavior; see paragraphs 0113+, 0123+ and 0148+ of Kahn '875).

Regarding claim 26, Kahn '875 discloses a method of prioritizing a plurality of user-stored files relative to each other in a mobile electronic apparatus (i.e., noted the user stored files located in the device memory 16 and 18 of the mobile device 10/110 as shown in Figs. 1-2 and 9-10; see paragraphs 0096-0100) comprising steps of:

storing the user stored, non-operating system files in a memory of the mobile electronic apparatus (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0097 and 0100, the user stored files can be still image,

Application/Control Number: 10/087,465

Art Unit: 2618

video and audio/music type of non-operating system file which is input by a user into the digital portable device 10/110 as shown in Figs 1 and 9);

associating more than one different prioritization parameter with each user stored file (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, the priority rating preferences, age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files are used as a different prioritization parameter for associating with each user stored file during the prioritization process of the user stored file in the mobile device 10/110);

and prioritizing the user stored files (i.e., noted the image, video and audio/music files stored in the device memory as discussed in paragraphs 0100) relative to each other based upon a priority value established for each of the files by a combination of at least two of the prioritization parameters associated with each of the files (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, it is noted that sound, video, a number of images stored are related to each other based upon a priority rating values by using the different prioritization parameters, such as age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files, thus, it is cleared that combination of different prioritization parameters are used to assigned a priority rating values to the user stored records held within the device memory);

automatically moving the file based at least partially upon the prioritizing comprising transferring the file from the mobile electronic apparatus by a wireless communication link (i.e.,

as shown in Figs. 4 and 8, the mobile electronic apparatus 10/110 established a wireless communication 44 link with the PC, and image records in the camera memory that have not already been saved on a storage means of the computer are <u>automatically</u> transferred to the PC, thus backing up that image. Further, Kahn '875 stated in paragraphs 0133+ that the image file transferred to the PC over the wireless communication link is based at least partially upon the prioritizing such as "the last image recorded" in the memory of the device. Further, as discussed in paragraphs 0047+and 0151+, the data/time and tag/references are used as the prioritization parameters associated with each of the stored image files to automatically transfer the last image recorded in the device memory to the remote CPU, thus, the back-up file automatically transfer to the PC is based at least partially upon the prioritizing as claimed).

Regarding claim 27, Kahn '875 discloses a method as in claim 26 wherein the mobile electronic apparatus comprises a radio frequency transmitter and the wireless communication link comprises a radio frequency link (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0065-0066, 0128 and 0153+, the mobile device 10/110 can be a wireless phone for transmitting the information over the wireless communication link).

Regarding claim 32, Kahn '875 discloses a method of prioritizing a plurality of user-stored files relative to each other in a mobile electronic apparatus (i.e., noted the user stored files located in the device memory 16 and 18 of the mobile device 10/110 as shown in Figs. 1-2 and 9-10; see paragraphs 0096-0100) comprising steps of:

storing the user stored, non-operating system files in a memory of the mobile electronic apparatus (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0097 and 0100, the user stored files can be still image,

video and audio/music type of non-operating system file which is input by a user into the digital portable device 10/110 as shown in Figs 1 and 9);

Page 11

associating more than one different prioritization parameter with each user stored file (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, the priority rating preferences, age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files are used as a different prioritization parameter for associating with each user stored file during the prioritization process of the user stored file in the mobile device 10/110);

and prioritizing the user stored, non-operating system files (i.e., noted the image, video and audio/music files stored in the device memory as discussed in paragraphs 0100) relative to each other based upon a priority value established for each of the files by a combination of at least two of the prioritization parameters associated with each of the files (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, it is noted that sound, video, a number of images stored are related to each other based upon a priority rating values by using the different prioritization parameters, such as age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files, thus, it is cleared that combination of different prioritization parameters are used to assigned a priority rating values to the user stored records held within the device memory; also see the Examiner's comment with respect to claim 19 above);

Page 12

Art Unit: 2618

wherein the step of prioritizing the user stored files relative to each other comprises a learning algorithm that learns behavior of a user's low prioritization file handling over time, and changes prioritization weights given to predetermined ones of the parameters based upon the learned behavior (i.e., it is noted that the algorithm used for freeing the device memory is capable of learning the user's behavior of accessing/using the files over time to change the priority level of the files by setting weighting to influence the learned behavior; see paragraphs 0113+, 0123+ and 0148+ of Kahn '875).

Regarding claim 41, Kahn '875 discloses an electronic device (i.e., see Figs. 1, 2, 9 and 10) comprising:

a memory comprising a plurality of user stored files therein (i.e., noted the image, video and sound/music files stored in the device memory by the user as discussed in paragraphs 0096+), each user stored file having more than one different prioritization parameter associated therewith (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, the priority rating preferences, age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files are used as first prioritization parameter for associating with each user stored file during the prioritization process of the user stored file in the mobile device 10/110), wherein the user stored files comprise non-operating system files including photograph, video and/or music files (i.e., see paragraphs 0100); and

a prioritization system for prioritizing the user stored files in the memory relative to one another (i.e., noted that the user stored files, such as image, video and sound files, are relative to

Art Unit: 2618

one another by a prioritization system 14 of the electronic device 10/110; see paragraphs 0100+ and 0113+),

the prioritization system being adapted to prioritize the user stored files in the memory relative to each other based upon a priority value established for the files by a combination of at least two of the different prioritization parameters (i.e., as discussed in paragraphs 0017+, 0051+, 0057, 0130+, 0113 and 0145-0150, it is noted that sound, video, a number of images stored are related to each other based upon a priority rating values by using the different prioritization parameters, such as age of the user stored files, size of the user stored files, the number of time user stored file is reproduced/viewed, compression level and quality/resolution of the user stored files, thus, it is cleared that combination of different prioritization parameters, e.g., the "quality" and "age" of the files can be used for high/low priority rating, are used to assigned a priority rating values to the user stored records held within the device memory);

wherein the system for prioritizing comprises a learning algorithm that learns behavior of a user's low prioritization file handling over time, and changes prioritization weights given to predetermined ones of the parameters based upon the learned behavior (i.e., it is noted that the algorithm used for freeing the device memory is capable of learning the user's behavior of accessing/using the files over time to change the priority level of the files by setting weighting to influence the learned behavior; see paragraphs 0113+, 0123+ and 0148+ of Kahn '875).

Art Unit: 2618

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-18, 20-22, 36-37, 39-40, 42-44 and 47 are allowed.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung S. Moe whose telephone number is 571-272-7314. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aung S. Moe
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2618

A. Moe July 13, 2006